



1
00:00:05,930 --> 00:00:03,409
thank you and good morning or as Bob

2
00:00:09,549 --> 00:00:05,940
says whatever it is are these are

3
00:00:14,379 --> 00:00:09,559
wonderful long days I want to talk about

4
00:00:18,230 --> 00:00:14,389
basically the what amounts to hard edge

5
00:00:21,800 --> 00:00:18,240
scientific statistically based material

6
00:00:26,150 --> 00:00:21,810
but I would like to start by mentioning

7
00:00:28,490 --> 00:00:26,160
that this project began because we were

8
00:00:30,320 --> 00:00:28,500
interested in consciousness we were

9
00:00:32,840 --> 00:00:30,330
interested in the possibility that there

10
00:00:34,520 --> 00:00:32,850
is interconnection among people that

11
00:00:36,560 --> 00:00:34,530
there might even be something that could

12
00:00:39,170 --> 00:00:36,570
be construed as a global consciousness I

13
00:00:41,150 --> 00:00:39,180

won't prove or demonstrate that

14

00:00:44,930 --> 00:00:41,160

necessarily but we have some very

15

00:00:47,900 --> 00:00:44,940

interesting results over time I guess

16

00:00:51,020 --> 00:00:47,910

most importantly I think we're able to

17

00:00:54,760 --> 00:00:51,030

show with clarity that there really is

18

00:00:59,200 --> 00:00:54,770

as Gertrude Stein said some there there

19

00:01:03,560 --> 00:00:59,210

the odds are of this being just chances

20

00:01:05,299 --> 00:01:03,570

million to one or ten million to one we

21

00:01:07,450 --> 00:01:05,309

have independent measures and they're

22

00:01:11,179 --> 00:01:07,460

correlated they have correlated response

23

00:01:13,160 --> 00:01:11,189

to these events there's some structure

24

00:01:16,940 --> 00:01:13,170

in terms of distance in terms of time

25

00:01:18,530 --> 00:01:16,950

and also in terms of what you might

26

00:01:21,649 --> 00:01:18,540

think of as psychological qualities

27

00:01:24,700 --> 00:01:21,659

there's a lot of structure where there

28

00:01:27,770 --> 00:01:24,710

shouldn't be any this is what the

29

00:01:29,210 --> 00:01:27,780

network looks like interpret out over

30

00:01:31,880 --> 00:01:29,220

the world you'll see a lot of

31

00:01:33,649 --> 00:01:31,890

concentration in the US and Europe but

32

00:01:35,270 --> 00:01:33,659

we have tried to get a distribution that

33

00:01:40,999 --> 00:01:35,280

was big enough so we could ask questions

34

00:01:43,520 --> 00:01:41,009

about distance the data flow through the

35

00:01:44,840 --> 00:01:43,530

internet to Princeton and that's what

36

00:01:46,940 --> 00:01:44,850

the data looked like when they are

37

00:01:51,649 --> 00:01:46,950

coming in so we have to do a lot of

38

00:01:54,469 --> 00:01:51,659

processing to make sense or make find

39

00:01:58,280 --> 00:01:54,479

out whether there in indeed is in any

40

00:02:01,249 --> 00:01:58,290

kind of structure in the data the we

41

00:02:04,880 --> 00:02:01,259

look at each of the devices which we

42

00:02:07,310 --> 00:02:04,890

often call eggs there that's a node in

43

00:02:10,460 --> 00:02:07,320

the network it's a random event

44

00:02:11,839 --> 00:02:10,470

generator with custom software and if we

45

00:02:13,670 --> 00:02:11,849

look at them separately and then

46

00:02:16,680 --> 00:02:13,680

calculate an average

47

00:02:18,630 --> 00:02:16,690

they're accumulating deviation over time

48

00:02:23,789 --> 00:02:18,640

it will look something like this

49

00:02:27,899 --> 00:02:23,799

black summary trace and it may look like

50

00:02:33,119 --> 00:02:27,909

this in our formal experiments we first

51
00:02:34,309 --> 00:02:33,129
define the event we figure out we decide

52
00:02:37,160 --> 00:02:34,319
that there's an interesting event

53
00:02:39,839 --> 00:02:37,170
something it might possibly affect

54
00:02:42,089 --> 00:02:39,849
global consciousness if you will by

55
00:02:45,000 --> 00:02:42,099
because it makes an awful lot of people

56
00:02:48,119 --> 00:02:45,010
feel the same emotions think the same

57
00:02:52,099 --> 00:02:48,129
kind of thoughts so we discover the

58
00:02:55,080 --> 00:02:52,109
event in the news perhaps and then we

59
00:02:57,270 --> 00:02:55,090
define the beginning and end and extract

60
00:03:00,449 --> 00:02:57,280
the data and do the calculations so the

61
00:03:04,410 --> 00:03:00,459
experiment is done in a hypothesis

62
00:03:06,839 --> 00:03:04,420
testing since we know it every time

63
00:03:10,800 --> 00:03:06,849

without looking at the data which data

64

00:03:12,750 --> 00:03:10,810

we're interested in and we often show

65

00:03:16,729 --> 00:03:12,760

use these kind of figures to plot the

66

00:03:20,759 --> 00:03:16,739

result they're really just a historical

67

00:03:23,460 --> 00:03:20,769

record of the duration of the event but

68

00:03:26,250 --> 00:03:23,470

this point at the end is the point we're

69

00:03:30,120 --> 00:03:26,260

interested in in terms of a bottom-line

70

00:03:31,800 --> 00:03:30,130

statistic for each of the events here I

71

00:03:34,259 --> 00:03:31,810

will just give you two or three examples

72

00:03:37,770 --> 00:03:34,269

and then get on to the kind of analytic

73

00:03:41,879 --> 00:03:37,780

details this is September 11th in the

74

00:03:43,949 --> 00:03:41,889

context of a week of surrounding days so

75

00:03:46,470 --> 00:03:43,959

we if we look at at the our first

76
00:03:48,629 --> 00:03:46,480
prediction really only encompassed four

77
00:03:51,300 --> 00:03:48,639
hours that's the formal prediction and

78
00:03:53,460 --> 00:03:51,310
it was marginally significant it was at

79
00:03:53,940 --> 00:03:53,470
the point O two level or something like

80
00:03:57,319 --> 00:03:53,950
that

81
00:03:59,580 --> 00:03:57,329
had we realized the magnitude and in

82
00:04:03,900 --> 00:03:59,590
consciousness space we might have said

83
00:04:06,539 --> 00:04:03,910
let's look at two days that effect in

84
00:04:09,119 --> 00:04:06,549
the data data should look like what it

85
00:04:12,000 --> 00:04:09,129
looks like on the left a kind of random

86
00:04:15,180 --> 00:04:12,010
walk with a level trend and and of

87
00:04:17,789 --> 00:04:15,190
course you see when we examine over a

88
00:04:21,360 --> 00:04:17,799

longer period of time there's a

89

00:04:23,550 --> 00:04:21,370

tremendous persistence in the effect a

90

00:04:25,020 --> 00:04:23,560

big deviation that's apparently

91

00:04:27,290 --> 00:04:25,030

associated with the feelings and

92

00:04:29,880 --> 00:04:27,300

thoughts that people had

93

00:04:32,820 --> 00:04:29,890

and this one is a completely different

94

00:04:35,580 --> 00:04:32,830

kind of event this one was a planned and

95

00:04:38,610 --> 00:04:35,590

organized synchronized meditation which

96

00:04:40,400 --> 00:04:38,620

we as best we can tell involved about a

97

00:04:42,990 --> 00:04:40,410

half a million people around the world

98

00:04:45,270 --> 00:04:43,000

that's not a huge number in comparison

99

00:04:48,420 --> 00:04:45,280

of what 9/11 might produce nevertheless

100

00:04:52,500 --> 00:04:48,430

there's a powerful deviation from the

101
00:04:55,290 --> 00:04:52,510
expected level trend another completely

102
00:04:56,970 --> 00:04:55,300
different kind of event New Year's we've

103
00:04:59,820 --> 00:04:56,980
now had ten new years that we could look

104
00:05:02,790 --> 00:04:59,830
at and the question one of the questions

105
00:05:05,460 --> 00:05:02,800
we asked is does the variability of the

106
00:05:08,850 --> 00:05:05,470
data stay constant or does it deep

107
00:05:10,560 --> 00:05:08,860
decrease and as you can see a few

108
00:05:11,460 --> 00:05:10,570
minutes before midnight when people are

109
00:05:14,400 --> 00:05:11,470
beginning to think

110
00:05:17,640 --> 00:05:14,410
Midnight's coming I am I have to find my

111
00:05:19,350 --> 00:05:17,650
partner just so I can get a hug or I

112
00:05:22,050 --> 00:05:19,360
have to get my glass ready so I can

113
00:05:26,400 --> 00:05:22,060

toast the New Year and so forth

114

00:05:29,810 --> 00:05:26,410

fairly strong evidence that there's even

115

00:05:32,520 --> 00:05:29,820

in an unimportant event and this

116

00:05:36,440 --> 00:05:32,530

coalescence of large numbers of people

117

00:05:40,340 --> 00:05:36,450

in a similar direction or the same

118

00:05:43,500 --> 00:05:40,350

interest can produce an effect on our

119

00:05:46,430 --> 00:05:43,510

random event generator network this is a

120

00:05:51,170 --> 00:05:46,440

picture of the data over almost 10 years

121

00:05:53,640 --> 00:05:51,180

there are 250 events and the cumulative

122

00:05:55,290 --> 00:05:53,650

even though sometimes it's backwards

123

00:05:58,650 --> 00:05:55,300

sometimes we're flat sometimes there's

124

00:06:00,480 --> 00:05:58,660

no kind of effect the tendency is for

125

00:06:02,880 --> 00:06:00,490

there to be in effect it's relatively

126
00:06:05,790 --> 00:06:02,890
small but the accumulation over such a

127
00:06:09,000 --> 00:06:05,800
large number of formal trials is highly

128
00:06:14,460 --> 00:06:09,010
significant with a z-score equivalent to

129
00:06:18,840 --> 00:06:14,470
5 plus standard deviations million one

130
00:06:22,680 --> 00:06:18,850
odds or smaller the independent

131
00:06:24,480 --> 00:06:22,690
statistics are we have names for them we

132
00:06:28,710 --> 00:06:24,490
call one of them Network variance or

133
00:06:30,659 --> 00:06:28,720
net-net fire and a second one which is

134
00:06:33,750 --> 00:06:30,669
called Cove are they're really pair

135
00:06:36,540 --> 00:06:33,760
products in one case of z-scores and the

136
00:06:40,100 --> 00:06:36,550
other case of squared C scores one is

137
00:06:43,489 --> 00:06:40,110
more responsive to distance

138
00:06:49,439 --> 00:06:43,499

implications and one more responsive to

139

00:06:53,519 --> 00:06:49,449

temporal interconnections in the data if

140

00:06:55,229 --> 00:06:53,529

we plot those over time we see and

141

00:06:58,409 --> 00:06:55,239

compare that with the kind of control

142

00:07:00,779 --> 00:06:58,419

data the gray cloud is a thousand

143

00:07:03,839 --> 00:07:00,789

resampling from the database with the

144

00:07:06,539 --> 00:07:03,849

same kind and the same event definitions

145

00:07:09,479 --> 00:07:06,549

except now they're just randomly pieces

146

00:07:11,879 --> 00:07:09,489

of data randomly extracted that's a kind

147

00:07:15,269 --> 00:07:11,889

of background that we would you expect

148

00:07:16,949 --> 00:07:15,279

from truly random data all three or both

149

00:07:19,079 --> 00:07:16,959

of those measures or a combination of

150

00:07:22,199 --> 00:07:19,089

those independent measures show pretty

151

00:07:25,109 --> 00:07:22,209

strong difference here's another way to

152

00:07:29,129 --> 00:07:25,119

look at the independent measure question

153

00:07:33,299 --> 00:07:29,139

we created a random sample of pseudo

154

00:07:35,339 --> 00:07:33,309

events with a an effect size equivalent

155

00:07:37,769 --> 00:07:35,349

to what we find in a database and that

156

00:07:41,299 --> 00:07:37,779

blue curve shows what happens not

157

00:07:46,199 --> 00:07:41,309

unexpectedly because we've constructed a

158

00:07:49,439 --> 00:07:46,209

powerful large database of small effect

159

00:07:52,499 --> 00:07:49,449

sizes we get a peak z-score of seven or

160

00:07:54,809 --> 00:07:52,509

eight standard deviations now the neck

161

00:07:57,229 --> 00:07:54,819

the question is what happens if we on

162

00:08:00,839 --> 00:07:57,239

these pseudo events calculate the same

163

00:08:03,449 --> 00:08:00,849

kind of the same do the same

164

00:08:05,850 --> 00:08:03,459

calculations but now with our covariance

165

00:08:08,519 --> 00:08:05,860

measure and the red trace shows that

166

00:08:11,009 --> 00:08:08,529

there's basically no nothing there this

167

00:08:13,859 --> 00:08:11,019

is a I think a good demonstration of the

168

00:08:15,600 --> 00:08:13,869

true independence of these measures now

169

00:08:20,369 --> 00:08:15,610

going on to some of the other is the

170

00:08:24,059 --> 00:08:20,379

structure we see that if we move the

171

00:08:27,709 --> 00:08:24,069

event from its real time slide it toward

172

00:08:32,189 --> 00:08:27,719

the future toward the past we quickly

173

00:08:35,759 --> 00:08:32,199

lose the high high departure from

174

00:08:37,889 --> 00:08:35,769

expectation and and enter in a kind of

175

00:08:39,899 --> 00:08:37,899

random space this also answers the

176

00:08:41,219 --> 00:08:39,909

question that some people ask aren't

177

00:08:43,799 --> 00:08:41,229

there a lot of other spikes in the

178

00:08:46,079 --> 00:08:43,809

database and this in a sense shows that

179

00:08:48,449 --> 00:08:46,089

the spikes associated with the events

180

00:08:51,150 --> 00:08:48,459

that are predefined are themselves

181

00:08:53,910 --> 00:08:51,160

spectacular the correlation between the

182

00:08:58,199 --> 00:08:53,920

two measures is shown in the right

183

00:09:00,810 --> 00:08:58,209

and figure they both are centered on the

184

00:09:05,190 --> 00:09:00,820

time of the real event and if you move

185

00:09:08,300 --> 00:09:05,200

the event artificially from either to

186

00:09:12,540 --> 00:09:08,310

the future of the past it changes

187

00:09:15,210 --> 00:09:12,550

another version of time structure this

188

00:09:17,490 --> 00:09:15,220

by the way I should I believe was on the

189

00:09:20,939 --> 00:09:17,500

first slide but much of this work is

190

00:09:24,240 --> 00:09:20,949

that is from Peter Bensele who was here

191

00:09:28,530 --> 00:09:24,250

at the SSE meeting and gave a present

192

00:09:30,750 --> 00:09:28,540

presentation last year he in this case

193

00:09:32,730 --> 00:09:30,760

looked at the correlation between our

194

00:09:37,740 --> 00:09:32,740

two independent measures they both

195

00:09:40,889 --> 00:09:37,750

respond to the to the events but that

196

00:09:42,509 --> 00:09:40,899

response has a time course it appears I

197

00:09:44,430 --> 00:09:42,519

mean if you read this graph and

198

00:09:47,250 --> 00:09:44,440

interpret it what it means is that the

199

00:09:49,650 --> 00:09:47,260

real interesting time period is about

200

00:09:53,370 --> 00:09:49,660

one or two hours that means something

201

00:09:55,310 --> 00:09:53,380

like the moment for a global

202

00:09:59,040 --> 00:09:55,320

consciousness that an hour or two long

203

00:10:00,750 --> 00:09:59,050

and in some sense there's interesting

204

00:10:03,810 --> 00:10:00,760

questions about what's happening at the

205

00:10:06,600 --> 00:10:03,820

beginning we think this may mean that

206

00:10:08,939 --> 00:10:06,610

we're in this jog at the beginning of

207

00:10:13,800 --> 00:10:08,949

the graph may mean that the correlation

208

00:10:16,139 --> 00:10:13,810

the covariance measure lags the network

209

00:10:18,480 --> 00:10:16,149

variance measure but we've got a lot

210

00:10:21,360 --> 00:10:18,490

more work to do this is a complicated

211

00:10:23,040 --> 00:10:21,370

slide we do a weighted regression which

212

00:10:27,240 --> 00:10:23,050

you can see in the green straight line

213

00:10:31,860 --> 00:10:27,250

in both graphs it's significant and what

214

00:10:34,350 --> 00:10:31,870

this is means is that the measures which

215

00:10:38,220 --> 00:10:34,360

are driven by this correlation between

216

00:10:39,630 --> 00:10:38,230

our pairs pairs of re G's is stronger

217

00:10:41,880 --> 00:10:39,640

when the pairs are close to each other

218

00:10:45,780 --> 00:10:41,890

than it is when they're far apart so we

219

00:10:49,530 --> 00:10:45,790

actually have a distance indication this

220

00:10:51,660 --> 00:10:49,540

is just a picture of that on the left

221

00:10:53,790 --> 00:10:51,670

here we have a short relatively short

222

00:10:56,100 --> 00:10:53,800

distance compared to a long distance

223

00:11:01,199 --> 00:10:56,110

another way to look at the same data the

224

00:11:05,400 --> 00:11:01,209

blue curves show the data in each of

225

00:11:07,530 --> 00:11:05,410

those two measures for pair separations

226

00:11:10,680 --> 00:11:07,540

less than 8,000 kilometers

227

00:11:12,300 --> 00:11:10,690

and the red data for pair separations

228

00:11:15,150 --> 00:11:12,310

greater than 8,000 kilometers

229

00:11:17,850 --> 00:11:15,160

very interesting and to me surprising

230

00:11:21,660 --> 00:11:17,860

because my intuition going in was that

231

00:11:24,720 --> 00:11:21,670

we had a truly non-local phenomenon so

232

00:11:26,730 --> 00:11:24,730

we can easily relatively easily

233

00:11:29,430 --> 00:11:26,740

categorize a lot of the events into

234

00:11:32,879 --> 00:11:29,440

things like terror political events

235

00:11:36,090 --> 00:11:32,889

natural disasters and so on collapsed

236

00:11:38,819 --> 00:11:36,100

this to a smaller set which is makes it

237

00:11:43,499 --> 00:11:38,829

easier to read what's shown here is a

238

00:11:47,670 --> 00:11:43,509

group terror events and partisan events

239

00:11:49,559 --> 00:11:47,680

where the stimulus to have the same

240

00:11:52,470 --> 00:11:49,569

emotions comes from the outside in a

241

00:11:55,680 --> 00:11:52,480

sense and compared with something where

242

00:11:58,110 --> 00:11:55,690

the meditation where the stimulus is

243

00:12:02,009 --> 00:11:58,120

basically kind of internal and what we

244

00:12:07,079 --> 00:12:02,019

see is that the network variants blue

245

00:12:09,180 --> 00:12:07,089

column is much stronger than the then

246

00:12:12,480 --> 00:12:09,190

the response from the and the covariance

247

00:12:14,699 --> 00:12:12,490

covariance measure and for the these

248

00:12:16,889 --> 00:12:14,709

terror and partisan events we have a lot

249

00:12:20,610 --> 00:12:16,899

more work to do to really understand

250

00:12:21,960 --> 00:12:20,620

this but it it looks like well literally

251

00:12:23,490 --> 00:12:21,970

that the two different kinds of

252

00:12:25,350 --> 00:12:23,500

independent measures are actually

253

00:12:27,240 --> 00:12:25,360

responsive to different kinds of things

254

00:12:31,199 --> 00:12:27,250

this is just an analysis of variance

255

00:12:33,150 --> 00:12:31,209

showing the same data that there is an

256

00:12:35,250 --> 00:12:33,160

interaction between the type of

257

00:12:37,319 --> 00:12:35,260

statistic we use in the category that

258

00:12:40,079 --> 00:12:37,329

they're in by in several different

259

00:12:42,300 --> 00:12:40,089

groupings we see this that is there's a

260

00:12:44,879 --> 00:12:42,310

significant outcome so if there is

261

00:12:46,949 --> 00:12:44,889

consciousness driving what our system

262

00:12:49,259 --> 00:12:46,959

does one might ask what happens if

263

00:12:51,059 --> 00:12:49,269

people are awake versus asleep we might

264

00:12:53,280 --> 00:12:51,069

imagine there's a little more tendency

265

00:12:57,179 --> 00:12:53,290

while people are awake what this shows

266

00:13:00,420 --> 00:12:57,189

is in the center the a real 24-hour a

267

00:13:02,309 --> 00:13:00,430

day compared with days there that are

268

00:13:05,550 --> 00:13:02,319

minutes longer or minutes shorter

269

00:13:07,769 --> 00:13:05,560

there's a pretty impressive spike it's

270

00:13:10,860 --> 00:13:07,779

actually only sixteen to one odds but

271

00:13:13,740 --> 00:13:10,870

it's it suggests that there really is a

272

00:13:18,059 --> 00:13:13,750

kind of consciousness pressure on the

273

00:13:20,009 --> 00:13:18,069

data when people are awake the long

274

00:13:21,120 --> 00:13:20,019

there's the blue curve show alarm

275

00:13:23,070 --> 00:13:21,130

long-term trend

276

00:13:27,360 --> 00:13:23,080

our data which is in a way kind of

277

00:13:29,280 --> 00:13:27,370

mysterious why would this happen we look

278

00:13:32,630 --> 00:13:29,290

for some sort of external correlate and

279

00:13:35,610 --> 00:13:32,640

Peter decided to gather all kinds of

280

00:13:37,950 --> 00:13:35,620

presidential all kinds of polling data

281

00:13:40,470 --> 00:13:37,960

and looked in particular at the

282

00:13:42,240 --> 00:13:40,480

presidential approval ratings which as

283

00:13:44,430 --> 00:13:42,250

you can see in the left-hand graph even

284

00:13:48,720 --> 00:13:44,440

in the raw form have a fairly similar

285

00:13:51,960 --> 00:13:48,730

kind of trend when we do a simple model

286

00:13:56,280 --> 00:13:51,970

to fit the presidential approval data to

287

00:14:00,270 --> 00:13:56,290

the to the global consciousness network

288

00:14:04,920 --> 00:14:00,280

variance it's a very striking fit no

289

00:14:11,150 --> 00:14:04,930

proof of a causal result okay this is my

290

00:14:15,180 --> 00:14:11,160

last slide there there is in in the last

291

00:14:17,520 --> 00:14:15,190

ten years or nine years some six hundred

292

00:14:20,220 --> 00:14:17,530

earthquakes in the world or seven

293

00:14:22,320 --> 00:14:20,230

hundred with Richter magnitude seven or

294

00:14:26,640 --> 00:14:22,330

greater in other words damaging quakes

295

00:14:29,220 --> 00:14:26,650

about hundred of those have been on land

296

00:14:32,070 --> 00:14:29,230

where they matter to people and the rest

297

00:14:34,260 --> 00:14:32,080

are in the ocean so this graph shows a

298

00:14:37,560 --> 00:14:34,270

strong pattern when they're on the land

299

00:14:39,030 --> 00:14:37,570

and not much of a pattern at all when

300

00:14:41,310 --> 00:14:39,040

these quakes occur in the ocean

301
00:14:43,410 --> 00:14:41,320
what's perhaps more interesting in a

302
00:14:45,990 --> 00:14:43,420
certain sense and again a temporal

303
00:14:48,660 --> 00:14:46,000
structural kind of thing this central

304
00:14:50,910 --> 00:14:48,670
portion is magnified here and actually

305
00:14:53,610 --> 00:14:50,920
separated into two independent subsets

306
00:14:56,340 --> 00:14:53,620
both of which show the same pattern and

307
00:15:01,170 --> 00:14:56,350
that pattern begins about eight hours

308
00:15:07,710 --> 00:15:01,180
before the minimum point which is at the

309
00:15:11,910 --> 00:15:07,720
time of the quake so okay last point was

310
00:15:14,670 --> 00:15:11,920
oh i this is the button the fact that

311
00:15:18,150 --> 00:15:14,680
only where that the only the quakes

312
00:15:20,640 --> 00:15:18,160
which affect people show any pattern

313
00:15:22,260 --> 00:15:20,650

suggests i think strongly the

314

00:15:24,930 --> 00:15:22,270

consciousness definitely is involved

315

00:15:27,030 --> 00:15:24,940

lots of other things to do there's even

316

00:15:29,490 --> 00:15:27,040

a suggestion of premonition but more

317

00:15:32,460 --> 00:15:29,500

work to do to discover where there's any

318

00:15:33,360 --> 00:15:32,470

reality to that thank you very much this

319

00:15:40,350 --> 00:15:33,370

is the

320

00:15:40,830 --> 00:15:40,360

and part of the group who help Thank You

321

00:15:46,020 --> 00:15:40,840

Roger

322

00:15:48,030 --> 00:15:46,030

I just wanted to ask because I have a

323

00:15:50,400 --> 00:15:48,040

son who lives in Los Angeles could you

324

00:15:55,290 --> 00:15:50,410

please call me if that you can see that

325

00:15:57,840 --> 00:15:55,300

happening one of the suggestions that

326

00:15:59,940 --> 00:15:57,850

the data give is that we could in

327

00:16:03,780 --> 00:15:59,950

principle predict things the trouble is

328

00:16:06,990 --> 00:16:03,790

that if we see a strange change in the

329

00:16:08,670 --> 00:16:07,000

data we don't know much more than the

330

00:16:10,650 --> 00:16:08,680

data or responding to something we don't

331

00:16:14,250 --> 00:16:10,660

know where whether it's Los Angeles or

332

00:16:16,320 --> 00:16:14,260

maybe China and we don't know when

333

00:16:17,390 --> 00:16:16,330

exactly will be either but it's a good

334

00:16:19,830 --> 00:16:17,400

thought

335

00:16:23,100 --> 00:16:19,840

Roger wonderful update and beautiful

336

00:16:26,910 --> 00:16:23,110

data question about this this possible

337

00:16:29,250 --> 00:16:26,920

distance effect if you for example look

338

00:16:32,010 --> 00:16:29,260

at the earthquake data the earthquakes

339

00:16:33,630 --> 00:16:32,020

are are very physically localized and

340

00:16:35,970 --> 00:16:33,640

you literally because you've got a span

341

00:16:38,340 --> 00:16:35,980

all around the world you have you have

342

00:16:40,770 --> 00:16:38,350

eggs or re G's that are quite some

343

00:16:43,170 --> 00:16:40,780

distance from a given quake if you plot

344

00:16:45,380 --> 00:16:43,180

the data as a function of distance from

345

00:16:47,520 --> 00:16:45,390

a quake averaging over all the quakes

346

00:16:50,100 --> 00:16:47,530

for particularly obviously the ones that

347

00:16:52,620 --> 00:16:50,110

are on land is there a distance affected

348

00:16:54,750 --> 00:16:52,630

there is a small distance effect but the

349

00:16:57,180 --> 00:16:54,760

one that we know most about has to do

350

00:16:59,400 --> 00:16:57,190

with the distance between pairs of re

351

00:17:02,670 --> 00:16:59,410

G's our pair the average pair

352

00:17:05,060 --> 00:17:02,680

correlation is greater for the re G's

353

00:17:09,390 --> 00:17:05,070

that are close to each other we do have

354

00:17:11,340 --> 00:17:09,400

already a suggestion some of an answer

355

00:17:15,330 --> 00:17:11,350

to your question and it is positive

356

00:17:17,250 --> 00:17:15,340

there is a drop-off of effect with

357

00:17:22,800 --> 00:17:17,260

regard with regard to what appears to be

358

00:17:24,770 --> 00:17:22,810

the focal point of the event yes there's

359

00:17:29,070 --> 00:17:24,780

one

360

00:17:33,150 --> 00:17:29,080

is it possible to have a dedicated rag

361

00:17:36,720 --> 00:17:33,160

for a specific area and somehow in the

362

00:17:39,630 --> 00:17:36,730

intentionality says however you level or

363

00:17:42,450 --> 00:17:39,640

think of intentionality you reg will

364

00:17:46,770 --> 00:17:42,460

only ever respond to any saying from

365

00:17:50,010 --> 00:17:46,780

that specific spot or event style or you

366

00:17:51,840 --> 00:17:50,020

like an earthquake and nothing else from

367

00:17:54,890 --> 00:17:51,850

the intentions you want to put on this

368

00:17:58,530 --> 00:17:54,900

you think that's conceptually possible

369

00:18:00,810 --> 00:17:58,540

it given the nature of the meeting and

370

00:18:02,700 --> 00:18:00,820

that the content of the talks we've been

371

00:18:06,840 --> 00:18:02,710

listening to I'm inclined to say

372

00:18:09,480 --> 00:18:06,850

anything is possible but more seriously

373

00:18:11,190 --> 00:18:09,490

I think the in the nature of the

374

00:18:12,900 --> 00:18:11,200

question that we ask is very important

375

00:18:16,710 --> 00:18:12,910

and basically that's what you're talking

376

00:18:20,520 --> 00:18:16,720

about if we specify the task you so to

377

00:18:22,470 --> 00:18:20,530

speaking or if we task and our AG it's it's

378

00:18:28,200 --> 00:18:22,480

there is some evidence that they are G

379

00:18:30,750 --> 00:18:28,210

will be responsive to that tasking you

380

00:18:33,240 --> 00:18:30,760

could but it wouldn't we wouldn't be

381

00:18:35,340 --> 00:18:33,250

able to use the same you know material

382

00:18:38,250 --> 00:18:35,350

as we have here because we're talking

383

00:18:42,660 --> 00:18:38,260

about pairwise correlations it really is

384

00:18:44,910 --> 00:18:42,670

a global response we don't know how deep

385

00:18:48,150 --> 00:18:44,920

that correlation structure goes but at

386

00:18:53,810 --> 00:18:48,160

least the major stuff is driven by inter

387

00:18:56,940 --> 00:18:53,820

re G correlations have you done any

388

00:19:00,090 --> 00:18:56,950

analysis of as opposed to distance

389

00:19:01,560 --> 00:19:00,100

cultural connection like if a culture

390

00:19:05,760 --> 00:19:01,570

feels more connected to where an event

391

00:19:07,920 --> 00:19:05,770

happens is their response bigger I think

392

00:19:09,690 --> 00:19:07,930

I can answer in the affirmative we

393

00:19:11,100 --> 00:19:09,700

haven't done very much of that but once

394

00:19:13,140 --> 00:19:11,110

in a while there'll be something well

395

00:19:15,920 --> 00:19:13,150

for example we look at political events

396

00:19:20,370 --> 00:19:15,930

which and more often than not they're

397

00:19:23,610 --> 00:19:20,380

us-based political events and we look

398

00:19:25,590 --> 00:19:23,620

this is usually exploratory not formal

399

00:19:27,480 --> 00:19:25,600

the formal is always asking about the

400

00:19:31,170 --> 00:19:27,490

whole network but if we look at the

401
00:19:34,940 --> 00:19:31,180
local re G's for it to that let's say

402
00:19:38,450 --> 00:19:34,950
the US if we limit the subset we see

403
00:19:41,330 --> 00:19:38,460
typically a little bit larger response

404
00:19:46,129 --> 00:19:41,340
and then we do for the whole network is

405
00:19:47,799 --> 00:19:46,139
that answering your question yeah yeah

406
00:19:50,950 --> 00:19:47,809
we don't have that much sophistication

407
00:19:54,200 --> 00:19:50,960
yet we're working on it

408
00:19:55,879 --> 00:19:54,210
Roger a comment about your wrist I think

409
00:19:57,549 --> 00:19:55,889
appropriate response to the question

410
00:20:00,830 --> 00:19:57,559
over here about could you specify

411
00:20:02,810 --> 00:20:00,840
behavior on the rig's I appreciate your

412
00:20:05,539 --> 00:20:02,820
saying well we're in this group we know

413
00:20:09,470 --> 00:20:05,549

anything is possible but more

414

00:20:12,350 --> 00:20:09,480

specifically the question you ask is so

415

00:20:13,730 --> 00:20:12,360

significant it makes me think of bill

416

00:20:16,159 --> 00:20:13,740

tillers work with intentionally

417

00:20:18,549 --> 00:20:16,169

imprinted electronic devices where he

418

00:20:21,289 --> 00:20:18,559

works with specific very very specific

419

00:20:23,389 --> 00:20:21,299

processes and questions so I would say

420

00:20:27,019 --> 00:20:23,399

the tiller work combined with this work

421

00:20:29,659 --> 00:20:27,029

says the answer is yes is I think it is

422

00:20:31,669 --> 00:20:29,669

definitely yes but it's something that

423

00:20:33,950 --> 00:20:31,679

we have only begun to explore I mean

424

00:20:36,980 --> 00:20:33,960

we've formulated the question and it's a

425

00:20:38,419 --> 00:20:36,990

it needs a little more refinement and

426
00:20:41,090 --> 00:20:38,429
then it'll be a good question which will

427
00:20:42,980 --> 00:20:41,100
have the answer embedded in it bill

428
00:20:45,080 --> 00:20:42,990
Roger do you have any results in the

429
00:20:47,860 --> 00:20:45,090
Chinese Chinese earthquakes did you ever

430
00:20:50,840 --> 00:20:47,870
okay yes the Chinese earthquake is

431
00:20:53,330 --> 00:20:50,850
positive deviation it's not itself

432
00:20:57,799 --> 00:20:53,340
highly significant we have learned over

433
00:21:00,529 --> 00:20:57,809
time slowly that major disasters the

434
00:21:02,750 --> 00:21:00,539
effects on human consciousness and the

435
00:21:05,259 --> 00:21:02,760
emotional state of the world develop

436
00:21:09,769 --> 00:21:05,269
over days not in a few hours that

437
00:21:11,690 --> 00:21:09,779
typically are our events so the answer